

## REMARKS

The above application has been carefully reviewed in light of the last Advisory Office Action and it is noted that the Examiner has objected to the previous claims and their interpretation because the constructional element was not set forth as an element of the claims and positively claimed.

This objection has now been obviated by the cancellation of the single parent Claim 1 and the insertion of a new parent Claim 14 which has been drafted in Jeppson form to positively claim the constructional element as a part of the combination.

It will be understood that the "constructional element" includes not only the logs 2, but also to end constructional elements 9.

Applicant's comments concerning the prior art as set forth in the Amendment dated September 14, 2009 are reiterated and made a part of this response.

It is believed that the Application as amended is presently allowable and an early and favorable action is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Donald L. Dennison  
Reg. No. 19,920  
Attorney for Applicant  
Dennison, Schultz, & Macdonald  
1727 King Street  
Suite 105  
Alexandria, VA 22314  
(703) 837-9600 Ext. 15

November 30, 2009